Ivan Vernord Cleveland P. O. Box 689 S9ledad, California. Zip. 93960-0689 Op Filed
B 2 1 2008

NC

United States District Court

Northern District of Californía

In re Ivan Vernord Cleveland, (Petitioner)

٧.

Case No. <u>C 07-2809 JF (PR)</u>

8

2

3

4

5

6

7

Ben Curry, (Warden) (A) et al. (Defendants)

MOTION AGAINST RETALIATION ON PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S "WITNESSES."

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

10

On 1-30-08, approximately 7:50 A.M. petitioner was informed by his building Officer that He was to report to one <a href="Lt." Vasquez" s". When arriving to "Lt." Vasquez"s" office she was holding two letters that petitioner had mailed out on (1-29-08). One of the letters were addressed to (A.C.L.U.) of Southern California. Letter two was addressed to an Organization by the name of "TIPS". Petitioner's letters were opened and read by C.D.C.R. Staff, which is prison policy. Lt. Vasqez, threatened petitioner that if he mailed the two letters in their original wording that not only would he himself be put in the Hole which is ISOLATION, but also all of his WITNESSES.

2122

23

24

25

26

Petitioner would like to respectfully advise the Honorable Judge: Fogel, that he has at least twenty witnesses; in the case against defendant:

Abanico. Petitioner was then taken to a Metal Cage; where he was stripped of his clothes and searched and forced to stay In this cage for more than one hour. The cage is so small that it is impossible to sit down. After being forced to endure this humiliation for at least a hour and a half, he was told to go to his cell. Until further notice or after Lt. Vasqez, spoke

27 28 with one Captain: Geurra. Petitioner was placed on cell-lock-down. After two more hours Lt. Vasqez, informed petitioner that unless he changed the wording of his letters before mailing them out; not only him, but his witnesses as well would go to the hole. The petitioner's constitutional rights are clearly being violated. In way of "SCARED TACTICS," harrassment.

UNECESSARY BODY AND CELL SEARCHES. The petitioner's "Fourth Amendment" right was also violated. Prisoner is to be free from Unreasonable Searches, or Seizure of Property. Which also includes the protection of the (Eighth Amendment), against Cruel and Unusual Punishment.

"Petitioner's Due Process was clearly vioLated" (Official's are not suppose to restrict anyones right to "Courts or Lawyers", or punish or take away your property or ones liberty; even "within Prison"; without fair procedures. The (A.C.L.U.) are indeed an organization which provides legal "assistance" and "Attorney's".

"Retaliation occurs when prison officials punishes prisoners because prisoners has exercised his or her "Constitutional Right" Haff v. Cooke, (923 F.Supp. 1104).

"Also, a prison cannot punish you for complaining, or to keep you from filing a "Lawsuit." Allab v. Seiverling, 229 F.3d 220 (3d Cir. 2000).

The petitioner in this case would ask that the Court / Judge to grant a Motion. An order of Injunction against C.D.C.R. for retaliation, Harrassment, from all staff. For IMPEDING "OUT GOING MAIL" and "INCOMING MAIL." Petitioner will be also providing proof of allegation of sexual-misconduct on the Defendant: Officer: Abanico"; by way of witnesses of misconduct or victums; again would respectfully ask the Honorable Judge: Fogel, to grant this Motion against anytype of harassment; which includes wrongful transfer's due to the exerciseing their constitutional rights by testifying in behalf of petitioner.

Case 5:07-cv-02809-JF Document 21 Filed 02/21/2008 Page 3 of 5

The petitioner has not mailed the letters out; due to the fact that he does not want for him, or his witnesses to be harassed by way of " $\underline{\text{ISOLATION}}$ " or wrongful-transfer. The petitioner is only asking that his rights according to the constitution be respected and that he be treated fairly. This is the reason why these Motion's should be granted against anytype of retaliation by C.D.C.R.

DATE:

3.

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL BY PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

(C.C.P. \$\$ 1013(A), 2015,5)

FEB 2 1 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CHRCLOGE C I, MR. IVAN VERNAND CLEVE LANCE

I am over 18 years of age and I am party to this action. I am a resident of CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY prison, in the County of Monterrey, State of California. My prison address is:

> IVAN VI Cleveland, CDCR #: H-6054/3-CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY P.O. BOX 689, CELL #: F-W-256-/ SOLEDAD, CA 93960-0689.

On Frh-16-2008, I served the attached: OTION AGAINST- RETALIATION REGUEST IN The Cleveland Vs. Ben & Comy (worker) in cr-Al. CASE, No-COT-2809) SF(PR)

on the parties herein by placing true and correct copies thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope (verified by prison staff), with postage thereon fully paid, in the United States Mail in a deposit box so provided at the above-named institution in which I am presently confined. The envelope was addressed as follows:

TO KENNETH. T. ROBST CA STATE ATTOMEY GENERAL Office 455 Golden GATE AVE. SuiTe-11000 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-7004.

: ATTORNEY'S. : KNUPP GORDON AND DENNIS CUNNING hami 1151/2 BARTletT ST. SANFRANCISCO CA 94110

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 2-17-08

F- Wing - 256-Low Pro: Box 689 Solodad, CA 93960-0689



Legal mail

Jo: The Strice-of-The Clenk. U.S Dist.
Northern Disprier-of-CATOFORM

280 South Finst STREET ROOM-.

SAN Jose, CA-95113-3095